

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/018,622	-	03/12/2002	George B. Rockstein	METLOG-005	4991		
530	7590	12/29/2003		EXAMINER			
,		, LITTENBERG,	PITTS, HAROLD I				
KRUMHOL 600 SOUTH				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
WESTFIEL	WESTFIELD, NJ 07090				2876		
				DATE MAILED: 12/29/200	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applican	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/01862	- 1 Mu	R STKIN	fet pe	
omeer to to the outlineary	Examiner	10 11	Group Art Un	it	
	KA641U	PHS	1 7/7/9	<u> </u>	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et beneath th	ne correspondenc	e address	
Period for Reply	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONT	'H(S) FROM THE M	MAILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Conform the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by described to reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set.</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory nault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	inimum of thirty from the mailing	(30) days will be cons	idered timely.	
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	statute, cause the application	to become ABA	INDONED (35 U.S.C.	§ 133).	
Status	128/2			,	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21/05			<del></del> •	
☐ This action is FINAL.		.,			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>	ept for formal matters, <b>p</b> 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	rosecution as 213.	s to the merits is (	cloșed in	
Disposition of Claims					
∑ Claim(s)/ -/ 9	is/a	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	is/a	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
☐ Claim(s)	is/a	is/are allowed.			
√ Claim(s) /~ 1 9	is/a	is/are rejected.			
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/a	is/are objected to.			
☐ Claim(s)	are				
Application Papers			uirement.		
•	oles Decies BTO 040				
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>		d ( diss			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob			ovea.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	jected to by the Examina	·I.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	•				
	•	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. 6 119 (a)-/d)					
	dox 25 11 0 0 6 44 0	-1 (-1)			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received.					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> </ul>	of the priority document	s have been			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the I</li> </ul>	of the priority documents  nber)  nternational Bureau (PC	s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a	a)).		
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the I</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	of the priority documents  nber)  nternational Bureau (PC	s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a	a)).		
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the I</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul> *ttachment(s)	of the priority document nber) nternational Bureau (PC	s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a	a)).		
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the I</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> <li>□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper</li> </ul>	of the priority document nber)nternational Bureau (PC	s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a	a)). ummary, PTO-413		
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun □ received in this national stage application from the I *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	of the priority documents  nber)  nternational Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a  ☐ Interview Su ☐ Notice of Inf	a)).		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,622

Art Unit: 2876

Rejections will be based on the following criteria the criteria for applicant and/or counsel is

ordinary skill in the art, i.e. a knowledge of all prior art including the ability to read, comprehend

to point out the claimed invention compared to the prior concepts. The applicant is considered to

have the pertinent prior art before him during conception and reduction to practice of the

invention in light of this prior art including drafting the specification and claims. The applicant is

considered to be aware that to merely substitute or additionally employ one or more teachings of

one or more of the references before him in a combinational sense would clearly e within the

purview of obviousness, the motivation being the skilled artisan's recognition of the

interchangeable teachings of similar systems and the expedient of a substitutive or an additive

employment of one or more prior art system concepts to provide a particular solution or to bring

about a desired result.

35 USA 112 rejections:

a. The disclosure, like the claims point out the invention. A disclosure in which the

lexicography is unclear. Vague, convoluted or incomplete does not comply with the statute.

b. A disclosure which merely discusses prior art concepts without really setting a forth on

independently arrived at enabling disclosure does not comply.

C. Claims based on a disclosure as above or are vague, incomplete or merely expressions

or desired results do not comply with the statute.

35 USC 103 rejections and motivation.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,622

Art Unit: 2876

The criteria here is a skilled artisan who is looking first to the prior art for aid in the conception and reduction to practice phase of inventing and who is technologically skilled in the research of patent and other documentation and in the employment of prior art concepts in substitutive and additive combinations to address and implement a system, having collected and subjected the pertinent prior art (such as cited here in) and viewing the prior art technique of employing the desired inventive concepts in or more combinations to provide successfully similar solutions and which considered in combination address applicant's essential inventive concept, would find in such an addressing the "suggestion" or "suggestions" or "motivation" that the prior art concepts might be successfully employed in combination as set forth in applicant's claims. 35 USC 102 rejections:

A rejection under 35 USC 102 indicates that the claims, drafted in light of one or more references, fail to point and distinctly claim any discernible novel essential inventive concept.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are Art Unit: 2876

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 remain rejected. Applicant's response is unclear portions or the amended claims are two illegible to read. In addition, the applicant cancels claims 2 and 11 and then appears to argue claims 2 and 11.

Harold I. Pilis Primary Exeminer

H PITTS/pj

12/05/03